

United States District Court
Boston Mass.

Emergency Motion For Complaint.

Michael Defendis **10 CA 12214 GAO**
Plaintiff PRO Se

Nancy Defendis et al
Defendants namely her Attorneys

Judge Kenny
Judge Rocket
Judge Langlois
Judge McSweeney

all of the Middlesex Probate
Court Cambridge

John + Jane Doe

Correspondent + Co conspirators
The Plaintiff requests Trial by Jury

Juris Dictional issues are not Domestic
issues. They are For matter and purpose
issues derived by deprivation of Equal
access to the courts 28 USC 1331 Equal
Protection of the Law 28 USC 1331 and
gender Bias 28 USC 1983. Jurisdictional per 28 USC
1332

As per the 14th Amendment the Plaintiff
complains that a court Judgement had been
rendered on Dec 8, 2010 by the Probate court
and the defendants fail to abide by that
Judgement as of this day

The Plaintiff has approached that Probate
court to file appropriate motions and

Filing to expedite those matter as judged
and to correct that court's incorrect findings.
The Plaintiff was refused a hearing or establish
an EMERGENCY Trial to correct the missive
acts of the Defendant. On Dec 17, 2010, Dec
20, 2010. And Dec 21, 2010. On Nov of the afore
mentioned dates would the clerks nor the
Judge hear or respond to the appropriate
motions attempted to be Filed by the Plaintiff.
~~and~~ These individual, clerks and Judge refused
to address nor prepare nor to give equal court
time to the Defendant to establish his
complaint.

Time is of the essence for the
Primary Judgment of Dec 8, was to allow
The Plaintiff and children their 1st amendment
Right to Freedom of Family and the
Plaintiff has been Patient for 7 years in
order for that right to be accomplished
and condoned by the Court.

This is not the 1st time this court has
not given the Plaintiff his due process rights
to Equal access to the courts and equal
protection of the Law.

To name several apparent court violation
the court has refused to acknowledge
are August 2006, April 5, 2007, July 11, 2009
and current Dec 17, 20, 21.

This court has determined that it FAVORS³ The Defendant and is Reluctant To abide by state Law, state case Law, Mass practice, Federal Law and Federal case Law. And disregards The god given, common Law Practice of Freedom of Family, described in all the ABOVE mentioned Agendas.

Even when the court makes Judgement the court is reluctant to uphold it's own decisions. And even allow the Plaintiff To be Removed From the court at it's own Jurisdiction without a contempt complaint establish by the Cambridge police dept with out orders From this court. And had there by denied Equal Protection of the Law and Equal access to the court where the Plaintiff Had a long awaited contempt complaint scheduled to be tried.

The Cambridge Probate court demonstrates gender bias and Refuses to correct its violations of Law on many occasions.

The Plaintiff and his sons Have suffered Long enough. The boys ARE 14 + 17 AND ARE of Above Ordinary intelligence. The Plaintiff Father was their Primary Care giver For 11 years and has never been Proved other wise.

there are other pressing issues that must be addressed. but are not of such

(4)

Substantial and Emergency basis as the
1st Amendment Right to Freedom of Family

The Plaintiff initially request that
this Federal court allow the Family to
Rewrite as proscribed by Law and Probate
court Judgment of Dec 8, 2010.

The Plaintiff requires that the sons
and Father be re-inbursed for their
lost time together and is basing the
loss on prior 2006 case law in Federal
court of \$95,000 per child per year.

And to be born by each individually, Defendant.
And access fines to the Judges, Middlesex
County Probate court and its employees whom
have abetted the cause of the violations.
and Rewrite the Father & son as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted

Dec 21, 2010

Michael DeFeudis
M. DeFeudis